ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರೋಸ್ಟ್ ಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ತಲಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಲ್ಲ. ಮೊದಲು ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಸೆಂಟರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕಳುಹಿಸ ಲಾಗುತಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸ್ಕೂಲಿಗೂ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಖರ್ಚನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ 50 ಪೈಸೆ ಹಾಕಿದೆ.

Sri N. B. SIRDESAI.—May I know whether the Board has incurred expenditure to the extent of Rs. 98,312-50 for supplying marks list to each school?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—If the hon'ble member puts another question, I will be able to answer.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—Is it not a fact that 50 paise is collected from each candidate with the understanding that the result will be supplied to the individual candidates at their doors?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—It is not correct.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In answer to (a), you have said "No." To what part of the question the reply is 'No' and to what part the other reply refers?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—To the question whether the Board is collecting 50 paise to supply result sheets to each candidate' the answer is 'No'.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—What was the practice before this collection was started?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—The practice was that the result sheets were supplied to the centres and not to the individual schools.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಚ್ ಜಯಪ್ರಕಾಶ ನಾರಾಯಣ್.—ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಿಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಟಪಾಲ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಇಂಟಿಮೇಷನ್ ಕಾರ್ಡನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ನಿಜ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಅನಾಹುತಗಳು, ಹ್ಯೂಮನ್ ಎರರ್‌ಗಳು ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಪಾಸಾದವರಿಗೆ ಫೇಲು, ಫೇಲಾದವರಿಗೆ ಪಾಸು ಆಗಿ ಆದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಅನಾಹುತಗಳು ಆಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಆ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Maraswamy as a Tourist Centre

6. Sri SUBBA RAO HALSNAD (Baindur).—

Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that Maraswamy in Maravanthi Village, Kundapur Taluk, South Kanara District has been selected as a Tourist Centre; if so, when it would be opened;

(*b*) whether there is a scheme to construct a Travellers' Bungalow in Kollur village in Kundapur Taluk, South Kanara District as there is a famous Mukambica Temple and many people are coming there for worship?

A.—Sri MOHAMED ALI (Minister for Transport).—

(*a*) A recommendation has been made to Government of India to locate a Hotel in Maravanthe area.

(*b*) No.

Sri SUBBA RAO HALSNAD.—I have no supplementary.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Then, next question.

Release of Revenue waste lands for cultivation

7. Sri N. B. SIRDESAI (Khanapur).—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the total acreage of Revenue waste lands released for cultivation in Khanapur Taluka, Belgaum District since 1966 to 1968 and the acreage of Revenue waste lands still to be released;

(*b*) the basis on which the Revenue waste lands are being granted to the cultivators;

(*c*) whether cultivators of Revenue waste lands are treated as trespassers when they hold possession of the land without any written or implied permission from Government and they are asked to pay penalty before lands are regranted to them;

(*d*) the basis on which and by whom the rate of penalty is fixed for such trespass?

A.—Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) (i) 1,441-23 acres.

(ii) 6,87°-36 acres.

(*b*) Lands under the control of the Revenue Department were being granted as per the Bombay Land Revenue (Mysore Amendment) Rules, 1960, till 28th March 1968 from which date, the provisions of the Mysore Land Grant Rules, 1968, have come into force.

(*c*) They are treated as unauthorised occupiers of Government land for the purpose of Section 94 of the Mysore Land Revenue Act, 1964.

(*d*) As per Section 94 of the Mysore Land Revenue Act, 1964, the power to fix the penalty has been delegated to the Tahsildar.

Sri N. B. SIRDESAI.—May I know how the cultivators of revenue waste lands in Khanapur Taluka, who have been in actual possession of the land from 1956 and who have been paying land revenue regularly can be treated as trespassers or unauthorised cultivators and how T. T. fine and penalty can be levied on them?